auto	ATAL PROTECTION	Sec.
d SHOW	N	Calue
FLO	RIDA	-
FLO	RIDA	1

SURFACE COATING OPERATIONS



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI) RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:					
AIRS ID#: 0112393 DATE: 7/3/08 ARRIVE: 10.30 DEPART: 2.30					
FACILITY NAME: ROLLY MARINE SERVICES					
FACILITY LOCATION:2551 STATE ROAD 84					
FORT LAUDERDALE 33312-4800					
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: SHERYL LOPEZ PHONE: (954)583-5300					
CONTACT NAME: PHONE:					
ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 6/1/2007 / 6/1/2012 (effective date) (end date)					
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check I only one box) □ IN COMPLIANCE □ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE □ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE					
PART II: <u>RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))					
 Does the facility operate any emissions units other than the surface coating operations and emissions units which are exempt from permitting pursuant to the criteria of paragraph 62-210.300(3)(a) or (b), F.A.C., or have been exempted from permitting under Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.? (Rule 62-210.300(3)(c)4.a., F.A.C.) □Yes ⊠ No Does the owner/operator of the facility maintain records to document the VOC content of the coatings and the quantity of the coatings used? ⊠Yes □ No Does the owner/operator retain, and make available for Department inspection, these records for a period of at least five years?					
PART III: <u>CONTROL/OPERATING/MAINTANANCE REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))					
 Is/Are the surface coating operation(s) subject to a VOC Reasonably Available Control Technology (RACT) emission limiting standard of Chapter 62-296.500, F.A.C.? (Rule 62-210.300(3)(c)4.b., F.A.C.) Yes No Does the facility cause, suffer, allow or permit the discharge of air pollutants which cause or contribute to an objectionable odor? (Rule 62.296.320(2), F.A.C.) Yes No 					

PART III: <u>CONTROL/OPERATING/MAINTENANCE REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. – (continued)

(check $\overline{\square}$ appropriate box(es))

3.	Does the owner/operator encourage pollution prevention through such measures as training employees
	involved in surface coating operations on methods of reducing VOC emissions by:

a) maintaining spray coating equipment to ensure effective application	with a minimum of overspray?	\boxtimes Yes \square No
b) monitoring the coating thickness to avoid excessive coating?		Xes 🗌 No
c) considering the use of low-VOC coatings (e.g., waterborne, ultra-vie	olet cured, or powder coatings)?	Xes 🗌 No
d) implementing inventory control practices to prevent spillage?		Xes 🗌 No

e)	implem	enting man	agement p	ractices to	o reduce VO	C em	issions	during cleanup by:	
	1 sn	raving ligh	t colored c	oatings be	efore dark co	plored	l coatin	ugs to reduce the number of clea	ning

1.	spraying light colored coatings before dark colored coatings to reduce the number of creating	
	cvcles?	V Yes
	cycles:	
2)	recycling cleaning solvents?	XYes
)	recycling cleaning solvents:	

D No

PART IV: <u>SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDUR</u> A. <u>New or Modified Process Equipment</u>	<u>RES</u> – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C.				
 Since the last inspection has there been a) installation of any new process equipment? Yes ⊠No b) alterations to existing process equipment without replacement? Yes ⊠No c) replacement of existing equipment substantially different than that noted on the most recent notification form? Yes ∑No d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did the owner submit a new and complete notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, F.A.C.) to the appropriate DEP or local program office? ∑Yes ∑No 					
Courtney Pitters	7/3/08				
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection				
	7/3/09				
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection				

COMMENTS: The facility appears to be operating within the guidelines of permit # 0112393. All conditions appears to be satisfactory. No environmental air violations were observed during CY 2008 compliance inspection.